

21341 Practice Midterm 1 Solutions

Joshua Siktar (jsiktar)

February 18, 2018

1 Problem 1

Let $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. We will proceed by contradiction. Assume there exist u_i and u_k where $i < k$ and v_j is linearly dependent with u_i and with u_k . Then $\exists \lambda_i, \lambda_k, \mu_j, \rho_j \neq 0$ such that

$$\lambda_i u_i + \mu_j v_j = 0$$

$$\lambda_k u_k + \rho_j v_j = 0$$

Since $\rho_j \neq 0$, it has a unique inverse in the underlying field that we will call ρ_j^{-1} . Since $\lambda_k u_k + \rho_j v_j = 0$ it immediately follows that

$$\lambda_k \rho_j^{-1} \mu_j u_k + \mu_j v_j = 0$$

Subtracting this from $\lambda_i u_i + \mu_j v_j = 0$ gives

$$\lambda_i u_i - \lambda_k \rho_j^{-1} \mu_j u_k = 0$$

We can rewrite this equation in terms of all of the vectors u_1, \dots, u_m :

$$0u_1 + \dots + 0u_{i-1} + \lambda_i u_i + 0u_{i+1} + \dots + 0u_{k-1} - \lambda_k \rho_j^{-1} \mu_j u_k + 0u_{k+1} + \dots + 0u_m = 0$$

Since $\lambda_i \neq 0$, we conclude that the vectors u_1, u_2, \dots, u_m are linearly dependent, a direct contradiction to their independence. \square

2 Problem 2

We let $i \leq j$ and first assume i is a multiple of j . Then we show $Z_i \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a subspace of $Z_j \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$. Since 0 is a multiple of any $Z_i \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$, the zero polynomial is in $Z_i \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$. Now we show closure under addition. Consider two polynomials in $Z_i \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$:

$$a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_m x^m$$

$$b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_nx^n$$

Assume without loss of generality that $n \geq m$. Then $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_m, b_0, \dots, b_n$ are all multiples of j . In particular, the sum of any of these coefficients is a multiple of j , so

$$(a_0 + b_0) + \dots + (a_m + b_m)x^m + b_{m+1}x^{m+1} + \dots + b_nx^n$$

is also in $Z_i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$. Now let $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since a_k is a multiple of j for any $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, so is λa_k . In particular,

$$\lambda a_0 + \lambda a_1x + \dots + \lambda a_mx^m \in Z_i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z}),$$

and it follows that $Z_i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a subspace of $Z_j\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Now we assume $Z_i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$ is a subspace of $Z_j\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$ and want to show that i is a multiple of j . Assume for sake of contradiction that i is not a multiple of j . Then the constant polynomial i is not in $Z_j\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$, so $Z_i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$ is not even contained by $Z_j\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$. $Z_i\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$ cannot possibly be a subspace of $Z_j\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Z})$, a contradiction. \square

b. It suffices to construct a basis of $Z_i\mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{Z})$ and a basis of $Z_j\mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{Z})$ and show that they contain the same number of vectors. Let

$$B_i := \{i, ix, ix^2, \dots, ix^r\}$$

$$B_j := \{j, jx, jx^2, \dots, jx^r\}$$

Both of these collections of vectors have $r + 1$ vectors, and we will show B_i is a basis of $Z_i\mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{Z})$ and that B_j is a basis of $Z_j\mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{Z})$. Clearly the collection $B_i := \{i, ix, ix^2, \dots, ix^r\}$ is linearly independent since a polynomial is the zero polynomial only if all of its coefficients are zero. Moreover, these vectors span $Z_i\mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{Z})$ because any polynomial in $Z_i\mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{Z})$ can be written [uniquely] in the form

$$a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_rx^r,$$

where $\exists \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a_k = \lambda_k i$ for each $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, r\}$. Hence B_i is a basis of $Z_i\mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{Z})$, and by identical reasoning, B_j is a basis of $Z_j\mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{Z})$ as well. Since each basis contains $r + 1$ vectors listed, we have $\dim(Z_i\mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{Z})) = \dim(Z_j\mathcal{P}^r(\mathbb{Z}))$, as desired. \square

3 Problem 3

a. Let $S := \text{range}[T_1] \cap \dots \cap \text{range}[T_m]$. First note we proved in lecture that $\text{range}[T_i]$ is a subspace of W for each $i \in [m]$. Thus $0 \in \text{range}[T_i] \forall i \in [m]$, so in fact $0 \in S$. Now let $a, b \in S$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$, and we show that $a + b, \lambda a \in S$. Since each $\text{range}[T_i]$ is a subspace of W , in fact $a + b, \lambda a \in \text{range}[T_i] \forall i \in [m]$, so it follows that $a + b, \lambda a \in S$. Hence S is a subspace of W .

b. Since $\dim(W) = n$, and $\text{range}[T_i] \subset W \forall i \in [m]$, we have that $\dim(\text{range}[T_i]) \leq n \forall i \in [m]$, and then

$$\dim(\text{range}[T_1]) + \dots + \dim(\text{range}[T_m]) \leq mn$$

Since W is finite dimensional, so is each $\text{range}[T_i]$, so by Exercise 2.C.14 from Homework 2,

$$\dim(\text{range}[T_1] + \dots + \text{range}[T_m]) \leq \dim(\text{range}[T_1]) + \dots + \dim(\text{range}[T_m])$$

As we are given $\dim(\text{range}[T_1] + \dots + \text{range}[T_m]) = mn$, it follows that

$$\dim(\text{range}[T_1]) + \dots + \dim(\text{range}[T_m]) = mn$$

Moreover, as the dimension of each subspace is at most n we have $\dim(\text{range}[T_i]) = n \forall i \in [m]$. In particular, it follows that $\text{range}[T_i] = W \forall i \in [m]$, meaning each map T_i is surjective, as desired. \square

4 Problem 4

Let $a, b \in A$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Then we want to show

$$\Pi(a + b) = \Pi(a) + \Pi(b)$$

$$\Pi(\lambda a) = \lambda \Pi(a)$$

We will represent a and b coordinate-wise:

$$a := (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$b := (y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

where x_1, \dots, x_n and y_1, \dots, y_n are bases of V . Then since the dual basis vectors v_1^*, \dots, v_n^* are linear maps from V to \mathbb{F} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(a + b) &= \Pi((x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)) = (v_1^*(x_1 + y_1), \dots, v_n^*(x_n + y_n)) = \\ &= (v_1^*(x_1) + v_1^*(y_1), \dots, v_n^*(x_n) + v_n^*(y_n)) = (v_1^*(x_1), \dots, v_n^*(x_n)) + (v_1^*(y_1), \dots, v_n^*(y_n)) = \\ &= \Pi((x_1, \dots, x_n)) + \Pi((y_1, \dots, y_n)) = \Pi(a) + \Pi(b) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\lambda a) &= \Pi((\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_n)) = (v_1^*(\lambda x_1), \dots, v_n^*(\lambda x_n)) = (\lambda v_1^*(x_1), \dots, \lambda v_n^*(x_n)) = \\ &= \lambda(v_1^*(x_1), \dots, v_n^*(x_n)) = \lambda \Pi((x_1, \dots, x_n)) = \lambda \Pi(a), \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

5 Problem 5

a. Note that $V' = \mathcal{L}(V, \mathbb{F})$. Since V is finite dimensional, so is V' , and so we know that

$$\dim(V') = \dim((T')) + \dim(\text{range}(T'))$$

Moreover, we proved in lecture that $(T') = (\text{range}(T))^0$ and $\text{range}(T') = ((T))^0$, so the result follows immediately.

b. Note that (T) and $\text{range}(T)$ are subspaces of V , so

$$\begin{aligned}\dim((T)) + \dim(((T))^0) &= \dim(V) \\ \dim(\text{range}(T)) + \dim((\text{range}(T))^0) &= \dim(V)\end{aligned}$$

Substituting these into the result of part a gives

$$\begin{aligned}\dim(V') &= \dim(V) - \dim(\text{range}(T)) + \dim(V) - \dim((T)) \Rightarrow \\ \dim(V') + \dim(\text{range}(T)) + \dim((T)) &= 2 \dim(V),\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square